

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

nat/

				<i>pr)</i> "
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/021,517	10/29/2001	Colin P. Hart	1001.1430101	8623
28075	7590 09/23/2003			
CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC			EXAMINER	
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE SUITE 800		BROWN, MICHAEL A		
MINNEAPOI	LIS, MN 55403-2420		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3764	5
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/02/517 Colin Hart ata/ Examiner Group Art Unit Michael Row 3 264
—The MAILING DATE of this communication app	nears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SETOF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, I have a less than the l	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Ault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Attaute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1 	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	•
© Claim(s) [-19	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	
□ Claim(s)	•
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election
	requirement.
Application Papers	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
\square See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	jected to by the Examiner.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
$\hfill \square$ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies☐ received.	of the priority documents have been
	nber)
□ received.□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	nber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
 □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun □ received in this national stage application from the I 	nber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
 □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun □ received in this national stage application from the I *Certified copies not received: 	nber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun □ received in this national stage application from the I *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	nber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 10/021,517 Page 2

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-18 are, drawn to a dual balloon valve, classified in class 128, subclass 885.
 - II. Claim 19, is drawn to a pressure indicator, classified in class 73, subclass 729.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions Group I and Group II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions because Group 1 can be used to inject die into a patient's body without using Group II.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/021,517

Art Unit: 3764

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a 5.

separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

6. A telephone call was made to Mr.. Glenn M. Seager on September 12, 2003 to request an

oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is (703) 308-2682.

M. Brown

September 22, 2003

Michael A. Brown

Milaly Be

Page 3

Primary Examiner